

Optimal control of the drift in Fokker-Planck equations

... with the drift in BV

Karl Kunisch (RICAM/U of Graz), Hannes Meinlschmidt

Chair in Applied Analysis, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nuremberg
CAA-AvH mini workshop, April 30, 2021



Optimal control problem with a FP equation

$$\min_{(y,u)} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y - y_d|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^T |u|^2$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \partial_t y + \text{div}(\alpha u y) - \text{div}(\sigma \sigma^\top \nabla y) = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$y(0) = y_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

- ▶ (classical) FP equation \leftrightarrow temporal evolution of *pdfs* \leftrightarrow S(P)DE modeling
- ▶ temporal **control** u , **stationary** spatial drift vector α
- ▶ here: **very low regularity** compared to related work ([FG17; BKP18; AT21])
- ▶ physical motivation: nonsmooth potentials (bistable W form) ([Ris89; OD19])
- ▶ **disclaimer:** work in progress! today: **only** steps towards reduced gradient

Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t y + \operatorname{div}(\alpha u y) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma \sigma^\top \nabla y) = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$y(0) = y_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

General assumptions

- ▶ **drift:** $b := u \otimes \alpha$ with $0 \leq u \in L^2(0, T)$ and $\alpha \in \operatorname{BV}_{\operatorname{loc}} \cap L^{2+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$
- ▶ **divergence regularity:** $\operatorname{div}(\alpha) \in L^{1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $[\operatorname{div}(\alpha)]^- \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$
- ▶ **diffusion matrix:** $\sigma \in L^2(0, T; W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d))^{d \times m}$ (+ later: $\sigma \sigma^\top \succ 0$ uniformly)
- ▶ **initial value:** $y_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

- ▶ analysis for transport/FP-equations ([DL89; Amb04; BL08; Luo12; BL19])

Fokker-Planck equation: existence & uniqueness

$$\partial_t y + \operatorname{div}(\alpha u y) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma \sigma^\top \nabla y) = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$y(0) = y_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

Theorem ([Amb04; BL08; Luo12])

For every $0 \leq u \in L^2(0, T)$: exists unique solution $y = y_u$ in the weak sense,

$$y \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)) \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma^\top \nabla y \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^m.$$

Moreover, y is the **strongly renormalized solution**: for every $\beta \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \beta(y) + \operatorname{div}(\alpha u \beta(y)) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma \sigma^\top \nabla \beta(y)) \\ & - (\beta(y) - y \beta'(y)) \operatorname{div}(\alpha u) + \frac{1}{2} \beta''(y) |\sigma^\top \nabla y|^2 = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d). \end{aligned}$$

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck: existence (bounded)

$$\partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) = g \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$p(0) = p_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

with

$$g \in L^1(0, T; L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad -[\operatorname{div}(b)]^- \leq c \in L^1(0, T).$$

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck: existence (bounded)

$$\partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) = g \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$p(0) = p_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

with

$$g \in L^1(0, T; L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad -[\operatorname{div}(b)]^- \leq c \in L^1(0, T).$$

Obtain **some** solution:

- ▶ spatially mollify the data $b, \sigma, p_0 \longrightarrow$ smooth solutions p_ε to mollified
- ▶ maximum principle:

$$\|p_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq e^{\|c\|_{L^1(0, T)}} (\|p_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|g\|_{L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))})$$

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck: existence (bounded)

$$\partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) = g \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$p(0) = p_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

with

$$g \in L^1(0, T; L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad -[\operatorname{div}(b)]^- \leq c \in L^1(0, T).$$

Obtain **some** solution:

- ▶ spatially mollify the data $b, \sigma, p_0 \longrightarrow$ smooth solutions p_ε to mollified
- ▶ maximum principle & testing with regularized $|p_\varepsilon|$:

$$\|p_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq e^{\|c\|_{L^1(0, T)}} (\|p_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|g\|_{L^1(0, T; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))})$$

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck: existence (bounded)

$$\partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) = g \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$p(0) = p_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

with

$$g \in L^1(0, T; L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad -[\operatorname{div}(b)]^- \leq c \in L^1(0, T).$$

Obtain **some** solution:

- ▶ spatially mollify the data $b, \sigma, p_0 \longrightarrow$ smooth solutions p_ε to mollified
- ▶ maximum principle & testing with regularized $|p_\varepsilon|$:

$$\|p_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq e^{\|c\|_{L^1(0, T)}} (\|p_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|g\|_{L^1(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))}) \quad (r \in [1, \infty])$$

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck: existence (bounded)

$$\partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) = g \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$p(0) = p_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

with

$$g \in L^1(0, T; L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad -[\operatorname{div}(b)]^- \leq c \in L^1(0, T).$$

Obtain **some** solution:

- ▶ spatially mollify the data $b, \sigma, p_0 \longrightarrow$ smooth solutions p_ε to mollified
- ▶ maximum principle & testing with regularized $|p_\varepsilon|$:

$$\|p\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq e^{\|c\|_{L^1(0, T)}} (\|p_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|g\|_{L^1(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))}) \quad (r \in [1, \infty])$$

- ▶ extract weak- \star subsequence, pass to the limit solution p (keep the bounds!)

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck: uniqueness (bounded)

$$\partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) = g \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$p(0) = p_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

- ▶ idea: **renormalization** property gives uniqueness

$$\partial_t \beta(p) + \operatorname{div}(\alpha u \beta(p)) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla \beta(p))$$

$$- (\beta(p) - p\beta'(p)) \operatorname{div}(\alpha u) + \frac{1}{2} \beta''(p) |\sigma^\top \nabla y|^2 = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck: uniqueness (bounded)

$$\partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) = g \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$p(0) = p_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

- idea: **renormalization** property gives uniqueness \longrightarrow “ $\cdot \beta'(p)$ ” & chain rule

$$\partial_t p^2 + \operatorname{div}(\alpha u p^2) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p^2) + p^2 \operatorname{div}(\alpha u) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

↓

(distributional) Gronwall argument for $p^2 \longrightarrow p^2 \equiv 0$ if $p_0 = 0$

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck: uniqueness (bounded)

$$\partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) = g \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$p(0) = p_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

- ▶ idea: **renormalization** property gives uniqueness \longrightarrow “ $\cdot \beta'(p)$ ” & chain rule
- ▶ **but:** equation does not hold pointwise \longrightarrow regularize again ($p_\varepsilon := \rho_\varepsilon \star p$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_\varepsilon \star g &= \rho_\varepsilon \star \left[\partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) \right] \\
 &= \partial_t p_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(bp_\varepsilon) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p_\varepsilon) + R_\varepsilon \quad \text{pointwise}
 \end{aligned}$$

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck: uniqueness (bounded)

$$\partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) = g \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$p(0) = p_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

- ▶ idea: **renormalization** property gives uniqueness \longrightarrow “ $\cdot \beta'(p)$ ” & chain rule
- ▶ **but:** equation does not hold pointwise \longrightarrow regularize again ($p_\varepsilon := \rho_\varepsilon \star p$)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\varepsilon \star g &= \rho_\varepsilon \star \left[\partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) \right] \\ &= \partial_t p_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(bp_\varepsilon) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p_\varepsilon) + R_\varepsilon \quad \textbf{pointwise} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $\beta'(p_\varepsilon)R_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ (commutator lemma, [DL89; Amb04])
- ▶ reverse chain rule \longrightarrow renormalization property \longrightarrow uniqueness!

Towards a reduced gradient

Formally: relevant linearized problem for $u \mapsto y_u \in C^1$, direction $h \in L^\infty(0, T)$:

$$\partial_t z + \operatorname{div}(\alpha \bar{u} z) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma \sigma^\top \nabla z) = -\operatorname{div}(\alpha h \bar{y}) \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$z(0) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

- ▶ distributional right-hand side

Under our assumptions: $\operatorname{div}(\alpha h \bar{y})$ regular distribution

$$\operatorname{div}(\alpha h \bar{y}) = h \alpha \cdot \nabla \bar{y} + h \operatorname{div}(\alpha) \bar{y} \in L^1(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)) \quad (\text{some } 1 < r < 2)$$

... if $\alpha \cdot \nabla \bar{y} \in L^1(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (e.g. if $\sigma \sigma^\top \succ 0 \implies \nabla y \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$)

- ▶ solution for FP with (spatially) unbounded right-hand side $L^1(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))$?

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck (unbounded)

$$\partial_t z + \operatorname{div}(\alpha \bar{u} z) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma \sigma^\top \nabla z) = -\operatorname{div}(\alpha h \bar{y}) \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$z(0) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

No solution at all?

Recall *a priori* bound from mollified equation: (1 < r < 2 only)

$$\|z\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq e^{\|c\|_{L^1(0, T)}} \|\operatorname{div}(\alpha h \bar{y})\|_{L^1(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$

► in general: $\alpha \bar{u} z \notin L^1(0, T; L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \longrightarrow$ **cannot** pass to limit in equation!

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck (unbounded)

$$\partial_t z + \operatorname{div}(\alpha \bar{u} z) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma \sigma^\top \nabla z) = -\operatorname{div}(\alpha h \bar{y}) \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$z(0) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

No solution at all?

Recall *a priori* bound from mollified equation: (1 < r < 2 only)

$$\|z\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq e^{\|c\|_{L^1(0, T)}} \|\operatorname{div}(\alpha h \bar{y})\|_{L^1(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$

▶ in general: $\alpha \bar{u} z \notin L^1(0, T; L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \longrightarrow$ **cannot** pass to limit in equation!

But: $\alpha \bar{u} \beta(z) \in L^1(0, T; L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ when β bounded \longrightarrow **renormalized** setup?

▶ approximate $\operatorname{div}(\alpha h \bar{y})$ by *bounded* data $g_k \in L^1(0, T; L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$

▶ pass to the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ in **renormalized** form for solutions z_k

Inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck (unbounded)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t p + \operatorname{div}(bp) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla p) &= g && \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \\
 p(0) &= p_0 && \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

For every $g \in L^1(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $p_0 \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$: exists unique solution

$$p \in L^\infty(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)) \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma^\top \nabla p \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^m$$

in the *weakly renormalized sense*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\partial_t \beta(p) + \operatorname{div}(b\beta(p)) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma\sigma^\top \nabla \beta(p)) \\
 &\quad - (\beta(p) - p\beta'(p)) \operatorname{div}(b) + \frac{1}{2} \beta''(p) |\sigma^\top \nabla p|^2 = \beta'(p)g \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)
 \end{aligned}$$

for every *bounded* $\beta \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with *compactly supported derivative* β' . Moreover

$$\|p\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq e^{\|c\|_{L^1(0, T)}} (\|p_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|g\|_{L^1(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))}).$$

Towards a reduced gradient

$$\min_{u \in L^2(0,T)} j(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y_u - y_d|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^T |u|^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } u \geq 0$$

A “manual” ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}
 j(\bar{u} + \varepsilon h) - j(\bar{u}) &= \frac{1}{2} \|y_{\bar{u} + \varepsilon h} - y_{\bar{u}}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_{\bar{u} + \varepsilon h} - y_{\bar{u}}) (y_{\bar{u}} - y_d) \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon \beta \int_0^T \bar{u} h + \varepsilon^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|h\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

► divide by $\varepsilon \searrow 0 \longrightarrow$ first term vanish & second \sim gradient?

Towards a reduced gradient: first term

$$\partial_t z_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\alpha \bar{u} z_\varepsilon) - \operatorname{div}(\sigma \sigma^\top \nabla z_\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(\alpha h \bar{y}_{\bar{u} + \varepsilon h}) \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$z_\varepsilon(0) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

- ▶ $z_\varepsilon = y_{\bar{u} + \varepsilon h} - y_{\bar{u}} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is the **unique** (weak) solution
- ▶ right-hand side regular distribution in $L^1(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))$

$$\|z_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon,$$

$$\|z_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim 1$$

Interpolation:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} = \frac{r}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{r} + \frac{2-r}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\infty}\right)$$

$$\|y_{\bar{u} + \varepsilon h} - y_{\bar{u}}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2 = \|z_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^r, \quad r > 1$$

Towards a reduced gradient: second term

Adjoint equation: (renormalized notion of solution as before)

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\partial_t q - \alpha \bar{u} \cdot \nabla q - \operatorname{div}(\sigma \sigma^\top \nabla q) &= y_{\bar{u}} - y_d && \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\
 q(T) &= 0 && \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d.
 \end{aligned}$$

Towards a reduced gradient: second term

Adjoint equation: (renormalized notion of solution as before)

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\partial_t q - \alpha \bar{u} \cdot \nabla q - \operatorname{div}(\sigma \sigma^\top \nabla q) &= y_{\bar{u}} - y_d && \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\
 q(T) &= 0 && \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Duality formula)

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_{\bar{u}+\varepsilon h} - y_{\bar{u}}) (y_{\bar{u}} - y_d) = \varepsilon \int_0^T h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y_{\bar{u}+\varepsilon h} \alpha \cdot \nabla q$$

Ansatz: test adjoint equation with z_ε , “ z_ε equation” with q , integrate by parts

- ▶ adjoint equation in renormalized form
- ▶ mollify both equations to have regular solutions, get rid of all error terms

Reduced gradient

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{u \in L^2(0, T)} \quad & j(u) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y_u - y_d|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^T |u|^2 \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & u \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

The “manual” ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}
 j(\bar{u} + \varepsilon h) - j(\bar{u}) &= \frac{1}{2} \|y_{\bar{u} + \varepsilon h} - y_{\bar{u}}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_{\bar{u} + \varepsilon h} - y_{\bar{u}}) (y_{\bar{u}} - y_d) \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon \beta \int_0^T \bar{u} h + \varepsilon^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|h\|_{L^2(0, T)}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Reduced gradient

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{u \in L^2(0,T)} \quad & j(u) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y_u - y_d|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^T |u|^2 \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & u \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

The “manual” ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}
 j(\bar{u} + \varepsilon h) - j(\bar{u}) &\simeq \varepsilon^r + \varepsilon \int_0^T h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y_{\bar{u} + \varepsilon h} \alpha \cdot \nabla q \\
 &+ \varepsilon \beta \int_0^T \bar{u} h + \varepsilon^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|h\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Reduced gradient

$$\min_{u \in L^2(0, T)} j(u) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y_u - y_d|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^T |u|^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } u \geq 0$$

Reduced gradient in $L^2(0, T)$

$$\nabla j(\bar{u}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y_{\bar{u}} \alpha \cdot \nabla q + \beta \bar{u}$$

$$-\partial_t q - \alpha \bar{u} \cdot \nabla q - \text{div}(\sigma \sigma^\top \nabla q) = y_{\bar{u}} - y_d \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$q(T) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Wrap-up & outlook

Seen today:

- ▶ Fokker-Planck equation with irregular data
- ▶ strongly & weakly renormalized solutions for bounded & unbounded data
- ▶ assumptions such that $\text{div}(\alpha h y_{\bar{u}})$ regular distribution $(\sigma\sigma^\top \succ 0 \text{ needed?})$
- ▶ no IFT framework, but obtain reduced gradient

Omitted today:

- ▶ stability results, (weak) continuity properties $u \mapsto y_u$ (used implicitly at end)
- ▶ a lot of details

Wrap-up & outlook

Seen today:

- ▶ Fokker-Planck equation with irregular data
- ▶ strongly & weakly renormalized solutions for bounded & unbounded data
- ▶ assumptions such that $\text{div}(\alpha h y_{\bar{u}})$ regular distribution $(\sigma\sigma^\top \succ 0 \text{ needed?})$
- ▶ no IFT framework, but obtain reduced gradient







Omitted today:

- ▶ stability results, (weak) continuity properties $u \mapsto y_u$ (used implicitly at end)
- ▶ a lot of details

Do not know yet:

- ▶ any chance for second order approximations? $(\alpha \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)?)$
- ▶ circumvent $\sigma\sigma^\top \succ 0$ assumption? (Vanishing viscosity, transport equation?)
- ▶ some details

References I

- 
 R. J. DiPerna and P. L. Lions. “Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces”. In: *Inventiones Mathematicae* 98.3 (Oct. 1989), pp. 511–547. DOI: 10.1007/bf01393835.
- 
 Hannes Risken. *The Fokker-Planck Equation*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1989. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-61544-3. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007%2F978-3-642-61544-3>.
- 
 Luigi Ambrosio. “Transport equation and Cauchy problem for BV vector fields”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 158.2 (Apr. 2004), pp. 227–260. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-004-0367-2.
- 
 C. Le Bris and P.-L. Lions. “Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions to Fokker-Planck Type Equations with Irregular Coefficients”. In: *Communications in Partial Differential Equations* 33.7 (July 2008), pp. 1272–1317. DOI: 10.1080/03605300801970952.
- 
 De Jun Luo. “Fokker-Planck type equations with Sobolev diffusion coefficients and BV drift coefficients”. In: *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* 29.2 (Nov. 2012), pp. 303–314. DOI: 10.1007/s10114-012-1302-x.
- 
 Arthur Fleig and Roberto Guglielmi. “Optimal Control of the Fokker–Planck Equation with Space-Dependent Controls”. In: *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 174.2 (June 2017), pp. 408–427. DOI: 10.1007/s10957-017-1120-5. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fs10957-017-1120-5>.

References II

- 
 Tobias Breiten, Karl Kunisch, and Laurent Pfeiffer. “Control strategies for the Fokker-Planck equation”. In: *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations* 24.2 (Apr. 2018), pp. 741–763. DOI: 10.1051/cocv/2017046. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1051%2Fcocv%2F2017046>.
- 
 Claude Le Bris and Pierre-Louis Lions. *Parabolic Equations with Irregular Data and Related Issues*. De Gruyter, June 2019. DOI: 10.1515/9783110635508.
- 
 H.A. Oliveira and G.J. Delben. “Control the relaxation properties of the diffuse bistable potential”. In: *Chinese Journal of Physics* 60 (Aug. 2019), pp. 141–152. DOI: 10.1016/j.cjph.2019.02.013. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.cjph.2019.02.013>.
- 
 M. Soledad Aronna and Fredi Tröltzsch. *First and Second Order Optimality Conditions for the Control of Fokker-Planck Equations*. 2021. arXiv: 2002.03988 [math.AP].

Notes